

For Office Use only:	
Date	
Ref	

Core Strategy Development Plan Document Proposed Main Modifications – November 2015 Representation Form

The Council are seeking comments on the Proposed Main Modifications to the Core Strategy, following the Examination in Public in March 2015. The changes are proposed by the Council to address issues of legal compliance and soundness and we can only accept representations on these matters.

Comments on the Proposed Main Modifications Schedule are invited from **Wednesday 25th November 2015 until Wednesday 20th January 2016.**

REPRESENTATIONS MUST ONLY RELATE TO THE PROPOSED MAIN MODIFICATIONS.

You can access the Core Strategy documents online and additional copies of this form from our website: www.bradford.gov.uk/planningpolicy then 'Core Strategy Proposed Main Modifications', or you may request copies by:

- Emailing us at: planning.policy@bradford.gov.uk
- Phoning us on: (01274) 433679

Completed representation forms must be returned to Development Plans, by the deadline below, by either:

- **E-mail to:** planning.policy@bradford.gov.uk
- **Post to:** Core Strategy - Proposed Main Modifications
Development Plans Group
City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council
2nd Floor South - Jacobs Well
Nelson Street
Bradford
BD1 5RW

**ALL COMMENTS MUST BE MADE IN WRITING AND SHOULD BE RECEIVED
BY THE DEVELOPMENT PLAN GROUP AT EITHER OF THE ABOVE ADDRESSES
NO LATER THAN 4PM ON WEDNESDAY 20TH JANUARY 2016.**

Personal Details & Data Protection Act 1998

Regulation 22 of the Town & Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012 requires all representations received to be submitted to the Secretary of State. By completing this form you are giving your consent to the processing of personal data by the City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council and that any information received by the Council, including personal data may be put into the public domain, including on the Council's website. From the details above for you and your agent (if applicable) the Council will only publish your title, last name, organisation (if relevant) and town name or post code district.

Please note that the Council cannot accept any anonymous comments.

For Office Use only:	
Date	
Ref	

Core Strategy Development Plan Document Proposed Main Modifications – November 2015 Representation Form

PART A: PERSONAL DETAILS

** If an agent has been appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation in box 1 below and complete the full contact details of the agent in box 2.*

	1. YOUR DETAILS*	2. AGENT DETAILS (if applicable)	
Title	Ms		
First Name	[REDACTED]		
Last Name	Ward		
Job Title (where relevant to this representation)			
Organisation (where relevant to this representation)			
Address Line 1	[REDACTED]		
Line 2	[REDACTED]		
Line 3	Tong Village		
Line 4	Bradford		
Post Code	BD4 [REDACTED]		
Telephone Number	[REDACTED]		
Email Address	[REDACTED]		
Signature:	[REDACTED]	Date:	20/1/16

3. Please let us know if you wish to be notified of the following:

The publication of the Inspector's Report?	Yes	Yes	No	
The adoption of the Core Strategy?	Yes	Yes	No	

Are you attaching any additional sheets / documents that relate to this representation?	Yes	Yes	No	
	No of sheets / documents submitted :		2	

For Office Use only:
Date
Ref

Core Strategy Development Plan Document

Proposed Main Modifications – November 2015

Representation Form

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.
(Additional Part B forms can be downloaded from the web page)

4. To which proposed main modification does this representation relate?

Proposed Main Modification number:

MM17, MM18

5. Do support or object the proposed main modification?

Support

Object

Object

6. Do you consider the proposed main modification to be 'legally compliant'?

Yes

No

No

7. Do you consider the proposed main modification to be 'sound'?

Yes

No – 'unsound'

Unsound

8. If you consider the proposed main modification to be 'unsound', please identify which test of soundness your comments relate to?

Positively prepared

Not positively prepared

Justified

Not justified

Effective

Not effective

Consistent with National Planning Policy (the NPPF)

Not consistent with NPPF

9. Please give details of why you consider the proposed main modification is not legally compliant or is unsound in light of the main modifications proposed. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the proposed main modification please use this box to set out your comments.

(Please note: Your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support / justify the representation and the suggested change. It is important that your representation relates to the proposed main modifications).

I cannot agree with the assertion at 3.192 that the "evidence" the Council purports to have examined is unequivocal evidence of exceptional circumstances for Green belt release but rather an opinion. The council is basically arguing that the need for housing and employment land has hegemony over the need for Greenbelt land but this does not seem to me to be in accordance with the guidelines in the NPPF. This being the case how can it be that the Council's plans have been positively prepared, sound or justified? I am particularly concerned about the release of Greenbelt land for the Holme Wood Urban Extension. Not only has the case not been made for Greenbelt release but should large scale housing and employment buildings be erected on this land, the risk of flooding further down the valley into Leeds MDC will greatly increase, a credible threat following the Boxing Day floods in the region. This, then, would contravene the aims in SC1-5.

10. Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the proposed main modification legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Q7 above.

You need to say why this change will make the proposed main modification legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Delete all reference to "evidence" at para 3.102 and also delete the line "This is supported by evidence in the growth study that land is available in the Greenbelt in sustainable locations which would also not prejudice the strategic function of the green belt" . Furthermore, delete the last 11 lines.

11. Signature:

[Redacted Signature]

Date:

19/1/16

Thank you for taking the time to complete this Representation Form.